

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FTC	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/991,732	1	1/21/2001	Robert W. Martin JR.	1283/25a	4951		
20350 TOWNSEN	20350 7590 08/20/2003 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP				EXAMINER		
EIGHTH FL	OOR	O CENTER	BHAT, NINA NMN				
SAN FRAN	CISCO, CA	A 94111-3834		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
				1761			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/991,732	MARTIN ET AL.					
Office Action Sum	mary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		N. Bhat	1761					
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	is				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under t after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended p - Any reply received by the Office later than th earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFI Status	communication that provisions of 37 CF of this communication than thirty (30) days, maximum statutory period for reply will, by sure months after the increase.	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may in. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mostatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this commu  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.				
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on	21 November 2001 .						
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-34</u> is/are pend	ding in the appli	ication						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-34</u> is/are reject								
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are obje								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objecte	d to by the Exa	miner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)[	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐	None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the	ne priority docur	ments have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the	ne priority docur	ments have been received in	Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
				nlication)				
<ul><li>14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).</li><li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li></ul>								
15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)		<b>,</b> □	A (PTA (14) =					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P		B) 5) Notice (	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office								

PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Application/Control Number: 09/991,732

Art Unit: 1761

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Applicant's preliminary amendment of November 21, 2001, canceling claims 1-12, has been entered. The examiner acknowledges that this case is a continuation of 09/257,665, filed February 25, 1999, now US Patent 6,352,734. Claims 13-34 are pending.
- 2. Applicant is reminded that when prosecuting the parent case, at the time of allowance, the examiner and the attorney rejoined the method with the article claims so that the claims were drawn to a product which included the process limitations with the product claims since the novelty resided in the how the frozen confectionery product was made. In doing the rejoinder of claims and limitations, the patented claims read directly on the pending claims. A statutory double patenting rejection follows.
- 3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 4. Claims 13-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-28 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,352,734. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cole et al. teach a soft frozen dessert product which is readily

Art Unit: 1761

extruded upon removal from a home freeze which possess good textural stability even after prolonged freezer storage. The ice cream can be whipped to any desirable overrun but will be with in the range of 100-200%. Whelan teaches a low calorie fat containing frozen dessert, the dessert includes a process which involved making a preemulsion by homogenizing a mixture which consists essentially of polyol polyesters, milk solids, sweetener, an emulsifier, flavoring substances and water. Peterson teach a nonfat aerated frozen dairy dessert which includes 9 weight percent milk solids nonfat, at least about 62% water, sweetener, dextrin, egg albumen stabilizer and an emulsifier.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to N. Bhat whose telephone number is 703-308-3879. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5665.

N. Bhat

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761